

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERGE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/622,428	07/21/2003	Nicolas Moneuse	Q76507	4983
23373 7	7590 07/13/2004	EXAMINER		INER
SUGHRUE MION, PLLC 2100 PENNSYLVANIA AVENUE, N.W. SUITE 800			BASINGER, S	SHERMAN D
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
WASHINGTO	N, DC 20037		3617	

DATE MAILED: 07/13/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application No.	Applicant(s)		
Office Action Summary		10/622,428	MONEUSE, NICOLAS		
		Examiner	Art Unit		
		Sherman D. Basinger	3617		
Period fo	The MAILING DATE of this communication ap or Reply	opears on the cover sheet with the	correspondence address		
I HE - Exte after - If the - If NC - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1. SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. e period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period are to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statut reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be to ply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) definition to the statutory minimum of thirty (30) definition to be come ABANDON the cause the application to become ABANDON.	imely filed sys will be considered timely. The mailing date of this communication.		
Status					
2a) <u></u>	1) ☐ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑ This action is non-final. 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.				
	ion of Claims Claim(s) 1-7 is/are pending in the application.				
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) 1,2,4,5 and 7 is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) 3 and 6 is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.					
Applicati	on Papers				
9)🖂	The specification is objected to by the Examino	er			
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>21 July 2003</u> is/are: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ objected to by the Examiner.					
	Applicant may not request that any objection to the				
11)	Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correc The oath or declaration is objected to by the E	ction is required if the drawing(s) is ob	ojected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).		
	ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119				
12)⊠ <i>,</i> a)[Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority document 2. Certified copies of the priority document 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority application from the International Burea see the attached detailed Office action for a list	ts have been received. ts have been received in Applicat prity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	ion No ed in this National Stage		
Attachment	• •				
2) 🔲 Notice 3) 🔯 Inform	e of References Cited (PTO-892) e of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) nation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) No(s)/Mail Date <u>12/12/03</u> .	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail D 5) Notice of Informal F 6) Other:	r (PTO-413) ate Patent Application (PTO-152)		

Art Unit: 3617

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the plurality of electrical windings and the independent power converters of claim 7 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. The replacement sheet(s) should be labeled "Replacement Sheet" in the page header (as per 37 CFR 1.84(c)) so as not to obstruct any portion of the drawing figures. If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

医环状皮膜 地门面的现代 计时间 计自由自由 电影 化二氢氧化合物 电精谱电影 网络自己的电影 最后的多数强感

Art Unit: 3617

Specification

2. The abstract of the disclosure is objected to because legal phraseology such as said has been used in lines 4, 6 and 13 of the abstract. Correction is required. See MPEP § 608.01(b).

The disclosure is objected to because of the following informalities: see below.
 Appropriate correction is required.

On page 4 of the specification in lines 20, 21 and 33 and on page 5 in line 1 reference numeral 5 should be changed to 6.

On page 4 of the specification in lines 21, 22 and 36 reference numeral 6 should be changed to 5.

In the drawings the rotor is 6 and the stator is 5.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 4. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.
- 5. Claim 6 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

In claim 6 "said propulsion motor" has no clear antecedent.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

6. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

Art Unit: 3617

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

7. Claims 1 and 5 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by WO 00/37308.

The rudder is 41, 42; the motor is 1; the stator is 53; the rotor is 52; and the pod is 42. With regard to the last line of claim 1 see page 11, last line.

With regard to claim 5, see page 2, lines 2-4.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 8. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 9. Claim 2 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/37308 in view of Lehle.

WO 308 does not disclose that his motor is an asynchronous motor with is powered by a power converter controlled so as to hold the rudder in position. WO 308 does control his motor to hold his rudder in position.

Lehle discloses a asynchronous motor (column 2, lines 11-13) powered by a power converter (column 1, lines 20-21).

It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to replace the motor of WO

自己的对象是是大多的分类的主导自己的问题,我们就是我们可能够编码的建筑。由于这个一个全国的基础的,是是是基础的

Page 5

Art Unit: 3617

Application/Control Number: 10/622,428

308 with a asynchronous motor powered by a power converter similar to the motor and power converter of Lehle for the reason given in column 1, lines 20-21 of Lehle.

- 10. Claim 7 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/37308 and Lehle as applied to claim 2 above, and further in view of Eaves et al. WO 00/37308 does not disclose his motor as having a stator with a plurality of windings each of which is powered by an independent power converter. This concept is taught by Eaves et al. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains in view of the teachings of Eaves et al to provide the motor of WO 00/37308 with a stator of multiple windings each of which is powered by an independent power converter. Motivation to do so is amply provided in column 2, lines 21-27 of Eaves et al.
- 11. Claim 4 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over WO 00/37308 in view of Pleuger et al.

WO 308 does not include an underwater portion in the form of a rudder blade. Note that motor casing 72 of Pleuger et al has underwater portions 76 and 77 in the form of rudder blades. It would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains to provide pod 42 of WO 308 with rudder blades similar to 76 and 77 of Pleuger et al. Motivation to do so can be found in Pleuger et al, column 3, lines 44 and 45.

Art Unit: 3617

Allowable Subject Matter

12. Claim 3 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

13. Claim 6 would be allowable if rewritten to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, set forth in this Office action and to include all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

Conclusion

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Sherman D. Basinger whose telephone number is 703-308-1139. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F (6:00-2:30 ET).

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Samuel J. Morano can be reached on 703-308-0230. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

Application/Control Number: 10/622,428

Art Unit: 3617

Page 7

Sherman D. Basinger Primary Examiner Art Unit 3617

sdb 7/9/04